UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/569,836	02/27/2006	Yoshihiro Akamatsu	SAEG149.001APC	3076
20995 7590 10/21/2008 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			EXAMINER	
2040 MAIN ST		PENG, KUO LIANG		
FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/21/2008	ELECTRONIC

### Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/569,836	AKAMATSU, YOSHIHIRO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kuo-Liang Peng	1796			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESTRICTION OF THE MAILING	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/3/	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 31-40 is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-12,14-21,23-27 and 30 is/are rejected to claim(s) 13,18,22,28 and 29 is/are objected to 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examin 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the	eted.  o.  or election requirement.  eer.  cepted or b)  objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/2/07, 6/19/06, 5/24/06.	4) ☐ Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) ☐ Notice of Informal 6) ☑ Other: <u>JP 2000-09</u>	Date			



Application No.

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's election of the invention of Group I (Claims 1-30) in the response to restriction requirement filed September 3, 2008 is acknowledged.

Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL. Group II (Claims 31-40) is withdrawn for further consideration.

#### Claim Objections

2. Claims 7, 9, 18, 22 and 28-29 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In Claims 7 and 9 (last lines), "and a solvent (C)" is redundant.

In Claim 18 (line 2), should "ha" be -- has --?

Claims 13, 22 and 28-29 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the Claims 13, 22 and 28-29 have not been further treated on the merits.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/569,836 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 21 recites the limitation "the label base layer" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-3, 5-12, 14-21, 23-27 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP899 (JP 2000-098899).

Application/Control Number: 10/569,836

Art Unit: 1796

JP899 discloses a heat-resistant label comprising a composition comprising a silicone resin (A), at least one member selected from the group of a polymetallocarbosilane resin, zinc powder, tin powder and aluminum powder (B), and a solvent (C). (Abstract, [0002]-[0003], [0016]-[0020], [0028], [0033], [0035]-[0036], [0055] and Examples)

Page 4

7. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nishihara (US 4 929 507).

JP899 discloses a composition comprising a silicone resin (A), at least one member selected from the group of a polymetallocarbosilane resin, zinc powder, tin powder and aluminum powder (B), and a solvent (C). (col. 2, line 6 to col. 3, line 2, col. 3, lines 15-55 and Examples) The preamble "for a heat-resistant label" is merely an intended use, and does not carry any weight of patentability. See MPEP 2111.02.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject

Art Unit: 1796

matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP899.

JP899 discloses a heat-resistant label comprising a composition set forth in paragraph 6 above, which is incorporated herein by reference.

JP899 is silent on the relative amount of polymetallocarbosilane resin set forth in the instant claim. However, the polymetallocarbosilane resin is known to impart heat stability to the composition. In other words, the amount of the polymetallocarbosilane resin is a Result-Effective variable. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the polymetallocarbosilane resin in whatever amount relative to the silicone resin through routine experimentation in order to afford a composition with desired heat resistance. See MPEP 2144.05 (II). Especially, Applicants do not show the criticality of the amount.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuo-Liang Peng whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/569,836 Page 6

Art Unit: 1796

(571) 272-1091. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from

8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Jim Seidleck, can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned

is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

klp

October 10, 2008

/Kuo-Liang Peng/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796